
Exploration of Atmospheric Entries at Uranus & Neptune with HEEET as Heatshield TPS

Dinesh Prabhu*¹

¹NASA Ames Research Center – United States

Abstract

Background

NASA recently completed a comprehensive study [1] on possible missions to the Ice Giants – Uranus and Neptune. The study explored mission architectures, science instruments, atmospheric probes, *etc.* The atmospheric probes considered in the study were blunted 45° sphere-cones (1.2 m base diameter), and were scaled versions of NASA’s successful Galileo probe [2], which entered Jupiter’s atmosphere in 1995. In addition to considering Galileo-heritage material FDCP (full-density carbon-phenolic) for the probe heatshield, and PICA (Phenolic-Impregnated Carbon Ablator) for the backshell, the Ice Giants Study also considered a new thermal protection material called HEEET (Heatshield for Extreme Entry Environment Technology) [3] as an alternate, especially since the legacy material is no longer manufactured for NASA’s planetary science missions. HEEET is a dual-layer woven material that is significantly more mass efficient than full-density carbon-phenolic, and towards the end of its development cycle; target for TRL 6 is April 2019. The two layers of HEEET are: (a) an outer layer composed of a dense carbon fiber intended to handle the high heat flux of atmospheric entry, and (b) an inner (insulation) layer consisting of a lower density, lower thermal conductivity weave of blended carbon and phenolic fibers intended to manage the heat load of atmospheric entry (reduce the temperature at the bondline between the TPS and the structure to which it is attached). The primary advantage of HEEET is that the thicknesses of the woven layers can be customized to a specific mission in order to optimize mass.

Objective and Methodology

One important observation from the Ice Giants Study was that the predicted and margined thicknesses of HEEET were greater than could be woven with the currently established loom capabilities. Since the cost of a loom upgrade would be substantial, the present work explored the entry trajectory space to determine what combinations of entry parameters would result in HEEET thicknesses that fit within the existing loom infrastructure. Toward this end, the entry trajectory space, parameterized by ballistic coefficient and entry flight path angle, was systematically explored for 45° sphere-cone geometries of 3 different radii – 0.2 m, 0.3 m, and 0.4 m – which covered the range from Galileo-derived probes considered in the Ice Giants Study, and a follow-on study [4] on the possibility of using a single probe architecture (in terms of size and mass) for various destinations, including Venus, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune. The entry velocities, latitudes, and azimuths at Uranus and Neptune

*Speaker

used in the present work were taken from the Ice Giants Study [1]. For each 3DOF trajectory generated by a NASA Ames in-house code, *Traj*[5], the material response and thickness were computed using another NASA Ames code, *Fiat*[6], along with a margins policy proposed by the HEEET project [7]. In the present work, ballistic coefficients ranging from 200 kg/m² to 350 kg/m² were considered along with entry flight path angles ranging from -16° to -36° (primarily to allow deceleration loads to vary between 50 g and 200 g).

Results and Conclusions

Sample results from these exploratory computations will be presented at the workshop. Some preliminary observations are:

- For the cases explored here, there are several possible HEEET solutions that fall within the current manufacturing capabilities, *i.e.*, no upgrade is required beyond the present loom capability. Additional manufacturing development work (other than weaving) may be required if the estimated thicknesses of the recession layer deviate substantially from the currently demonstrated capability
- The entry flight path angle determines the maximum deceleration and pressure loads. Therefore, the entry flight path angle will be limited by the ability to demonstrate material performance in ground-test facilities, *e.g.*, arc jets. Note: HEEET has been successfully tested beyond 5 bar and up to a heat flux of 3.6 kW/cm². Ultimate pressure capability of HEEET has not been established, and future tests should be able to expand the currently known HEEET performance envelope
 - Regardless of entry flight path angle considerations, HEEET is most mass efficient for low ballistic coefficients. Ballistic coefficients between 200 and 250 kg/m² (± 25 kg/m²) work for the cases explored here. The ballistic coefficient selected can be translated into either a mass (given the base diameter) or a diameter (given the entry mass)
 - In addition to limiting the ballistic coefficient to lie between 200 and 250 kg/m², it is better to keep the nose radius between 0.3 m and 0.4 m
 - The convective heating of the deceleration module decreases because of increased bluntness, and
 - The HEEET constraint of a demonstrated forming to a minimum spherical radius of 0.25 m is satisfied
 - Radiative heating is likely to be negligibly small for Uranus and Neptune entries.

Future work

The cases explored here were limited to a representative entry velocity at each destination (dictated by the interplanetary trajectories available). Sensitivity of material sizing to entry velocity has to be explored. The heating estimates used in sizing HEEET were derived from engineering correlations. Verification of these correlations against results from detailed flow computations remains to be done.

Acknowledgments

The development of the *Traj* tool, its integration with *Fiat* (material thermal response tool) and a margins policy made possible the present work. Illuminating technical discussions with the Common Probe Study and the HEEET Development teams are gratefully acknowledged.

References:

- Ice Giants: Pre-Decadal Survey Mission Study Report (2017), JPL D-100520.
- Galileo reference?
- HEEET reference?

- Hwang, H. (2018), *15th IPPW*, Boulder, CO, June 11–15.
- Allen, G. A., Jr., Wright, M. J., and Gage, P. J. (2005) NASA/TM-2005-212847.
- Milos, F. S. and Chen, Y.-K. (2013) *J. Spacecraft and Rockets*, **50**(1), pp.137-149.
- Mahzari, M. and Milos, F. (2018), *15th IPPW*, Boulder, CO, June 11–15.

Keywords: Atmospheric entry, Thermal Protection System, HEEET